IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.404 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.177 OF 2005

Vishram Kama Vanel ...Applicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent.

. . .

Mr.K.M.Sangani, Advocate for the Applicant.
Smt.U.V.Kejariwal, APP, for the State.

. . .

CORAM : D.G.DESHPANDE AND
SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, JJ.

DATED : APRIL 19, 2006.

P.C.:

. Heard the learned Advocate for the applicant and learned APP for the State.

. Earlier also this applicant has applied for bail. The last order in that regard is dated 10th January, 2006. While rejecting the bail application the Division Bench of this Court gave liberty to the applicant to apply for parole to attend to his wife’s hospitalisation.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant

contends that, thereafter the applicant has filed his application to the Authorities on 21st January, 2006, but, his grievance is that, till today the competent authority has not decided that application.

3. The learned APP to communicate the competent authority to decide the application of the applicant for parole within one week from the communication of this order. The authorities to communicate their decision to the applicant immediately thereafter.

4. Application is disposed of.

(D.G.DESHPANDE, J.)

(SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.)